Community-driven vector control for dengue prevention in the Greater Mekong Subregion

Leo Braack,¹ Thy Chea,¹ Srun Set,¹ Sokha Suon,¹ Mark Debackere,¹ Pierre Echaubard,² Jeffrey Hii,³ Bernadette Ramirez,⁴ Jacob Bigio,⁵ John Hustedt,⁶ and Hans J. Overgaard.

- ¹ Malaria Consortium
- ² Laurentian University ³ Self-employed Research Entomologis
- World Health Organization Special Programme for Research and Training in Tropical Diseases (WHO TDR
- Self-employed Medical Research Scientist ⁶ Self-employed Research Scientist
- Norwegian University of Life Sciences

Introduction

An estimated 390 million dengue infections arise annually,¹ with most outbreaks in Southeast Asia.² Transmission is mainly by *Aedes aegypti*. With no vaccine or drug treatment available, vector control is the primary means to reduce dengue outbreaks. We worked with the Cambodian Ministries of Health, Education, Youth and Sport, and others to test whether a package of community-implemented self-help tools delivered alongside social and behaviour change interventions could successfully reduce dengue vector populations.

Methods

- Cluster randomised controlled trial in Kampong Cham, Cambodia (May 2018 Apr 2020).
- Three experimental arms, each covering 10 villages:
 - 1. Full deployment of vector control tools, plus social engagement
 - 2. Partial deployment of vector control tools, but minimal social engagement
 - 3. None control.
- Interventions:
 - production of 9,528 home-made mosquito autocidal traps
 - distribution of 26,400 larvivorous guppy fish
 - frequent removal of empty containers
 - training of 100 teachers to deliver an enhanced dengue-awareness curriculum
 - community engagement.
- Household surveys of intervention tools uptake.
- Focus group discussions.

Results

- 71 percent reduction in adult *Aedes* mosquitoes in the full intervention arm.
- A strong reduction in all four *Aedes* immature stage indices confirm the success of interventions on dengue vectors.
- Households' usage of larvivorous guppy fish increased from 11 to 75 percent (Aug 2018 Feb 2020).
- Community support for interventions achieved

Conclusion

Our results demonstrate that inexpensive, community-driven vector control interventions can substantially reduce dengue vector populations. As social acceptance and retention of control practices were high, similar campaigns could be introduced elsewhere in the Greater Mekong Subregion. Nevertheless, studies are required to determine whether such reduction also decreases dengue cases and/or outbreak risk; one is planned for Myanmar. The use of indigenous predaceous fish in place of exotic guppies should also be explored.

References

1. Bhatt S, Gething P, Brady O, et al. The global distribution and burden of dengue. Nature, 2013; 496: 504–507. 2. Guo C, Zhou Z, Wen Z, et al. Global Epidemiology of Dengue Outbreaks in 1990-2015: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Frontiers in Cellular and Infectious Microbiology, 2017; 7:317.

Simple, inexpensive, community-driven vector populations

malaria

consortium disease control, better health

Supplementary visuals



August 2018 February 2019 (p=0.006) (p=0.24)

Figure 4: House index (Percentage of houses with containers infested with mosquito larvae and/or pupae)



interventions, accompanied by effective uptake-support messaging, can lead to a strong reduction in dengue



(p=0.55)

(p<0.0001)

February 2019 August 2019 February 2020

(p<0.03)

(p<0.005)

(p=0.38)

200

LOSSES

August 2018

Figure 3: Container index

mosquito larvae and/or pupae)

(Percentage of water-holding containers infested with



(p=0.67)

Read more

http://bit.ly/MC-ASTMH-dengue

Acknowledgements

This project was made possible by a grant from WHO TDR. We thank the Cambodian Ministries of Health and Education, Youth and Sport for their collaboration, as well as the Antwerp Institute of Tropical Medicine and the USA Naval Medical Research Unit 2.





