## Vector control: the untapped potential for neglected tropical diseases

- Vector control has long been an under-funded aspect of the neglected tropical disease agenda, yet it has huge potential for tackling the spread of many of these diseases and supporting NTD elimination efforts
- The WHO Global Vector Control Response 2017 2030 provides the strategic blueprint for scaling up vector control, by endorsing the strengthening of national capacity and increasing research and innovation
- Strong political will, sustainable and predictable financing, and inter-sectoral collaboration will be crucial for the successful scaling up and integrating of vector control strategies

# Vectors and neglected tropical diseases

Nine of the 12 major diseases that are transmitted by vectors are classified as neglected tropical diseases (NTDs) and they collectively account for nearly 300,000 deaths a year<sup>1</sup>. Despite this, vector control interventions for these diseases have often been an overlooked and under-funded aspect of NTD prevention, control and elimination strategies. The scale up of mass drug administration has been highly effective at driving the NTD agenda in recent years; however, in order to continue making progress and tackle the challenge of rapidly spreading and newly emerging diseases, now is the time to make vector control a central part of NTD control approaches, where it is expected to have a significant impact.

Vectors are organisms that transmit pathogens and parasites from one infected person (or animal) to another, causing serious diseases in human populations. Mosquitoes are the most common and best known vector, with mainly *Aedes*, *Anopheles* and *Culex* genera involved in disease transmission. Sand flies, fleas, ticks, black flies, triatomine bugs and some freshwater snails are also responsible for transmitting some of these diseases.

Some vectors are responsible for spreading multiple diseases, such as the *Aedes* mosquito, which can transmit chikungunya, dengue, yellow fever, Zika virus disease and lymphatic filariasis. In total over 80 percent of the world's population now live in areas at risk from at least one vector-borne disease, and over 50 percent are at risk from at least two<sup>2</sup>. These diseases disproportionately affect low and middleincome countries, which are also the countries with fewer resources to adequately manage a high disease burden.

Successful vector control, predominately though the distribution of insecticide-treated nets and indoor residual spraying, has been at the heart of the successes achieved against malaria since 2001, with mortality rates falling by an impressive 62 percent<sup>3</sup>. Advances against Chagas disease and onchocerciasis have similarly relied upon significant investments in vector control<sup>4</sup>. With this history of success, now is the time to unlock the potential of vector control for a broad range of NTDs.

## The rise of vector borne diseases

The last few decades have seen both the spread of existing major vector-borne diseases as well as the emergence of new challenges to existing disease control strategies. Combined, vector-borne diseases now account for roughly 17 percent of the global communicable disease burden and cause about 700,000 deaths a year<sup>5</sup>.

Dengue, which is transmitted principally by the *Aedes aegypti* mosquito, has seen the most dramatic spread, with the number of cases exploding from just 15,000 per year in the 1960s<sup>6</sup>, to an estimated 390 million in 2012. In this time it has also spread from nine to 128 countries, with cases of dengue reported on every continent in 2012<sup>7</sup>. The true burden of dengue is hard to predict, but around 500,000 people require hospitalisation each year and roughly 12,500 people die from the disease<sup>8</sup>.

There has been an increase in the number and severity of chikungunya outbreaks in recent years too, with over two million suspected cases in the Americas alone between 2014 and 2016<sup>9</sup>. Similarly yellow fever, also spread by the *Aedes* mosquito and which had all but disappeared from Central and South America, has re-emerged as a public health concern. Disease outbreaks have been also increasing in refugee camps, such as the recently reported outbreak of cutaneous leishmaniasis among Syrian refugees in Lebanon<sup>10</sup>.

In Europe, an increased number of autochthonous visceral leishmaniasis cases have been reported in Italy, and there was an outbreak of urogenital schistosomiasis in Corsica in 2013, demonstrating that high income countries too are increasingly at risk from vector-borne diseases<sup>11,12</sup>. This became sharply apparently with the emergence of Zika virus disease over the last few years, which has quickly risen to being seen as a major global health threat. Cases have been reported in 67 countries, mainly across the Americas and South East Asia since 2015, including the United States of America<sup>13</sup>.

# Why are vector-borne diseases spreading?

In order to develop effective responses and invest resources responsibly, we need to know why these vector-borne diseases are spreading. Understanding the complex relationship between disease, vector, human and/or animal host and the environment is one of the key challenges to knowing how to defeat these diseases, as well as predict where the next global threat could come from.

The realisation that we are not well equipped to deal with the speed, scale and variety of threats posed by infectious diseases prompted Dr Margaret Chan, speaking at the 69th World Health Assembly in May 2016, to state simply that "the world is not prepared to cope". If we are to halt and reverse the spread of diseases like dengue, as well as be prepared for the next threat, we urgently need to invest in vector control.

#### MAIN VECTOR-BORNE NEGLECTED TROPICAL DISEASES

- ► Dengue
- Chikungunya
- ► Lymphatic filiarisis
- Chagas disease
- Onchocerciasis
- ► Japanese encephalitis
- ► Human African trypanosomiasis
- Leishmaniasis
- Schistosomiasis

#### WHY ARE VECTOR-BORNE DISEASES SPREADING



#### RAPID AND UNPLANNED URBANISATION

Rapid and unplanned urbanisation is putting large populations at risk from the emergence and expansion of certain arboviral diseases, such as dengue and Zika virus disease, which are spread by mosquitoes



#### LACK OF PROVEN, EFFECTIVE VECTOR CONTROL INTERVENTIONS

There is a lack of proven, effective vector control interventions, partly due to insufficient quality research on what works, why and in what context



## USE PATTERNS

Changing land use patterns are drastically altering the environment, modifying vector habitats and bringing humans into greater contact with vectors



#### INCREASED GLOBAL TRAVEL AND TRANSPORTATION OF GOODS

Increased global travel and transportation of goods is facilitating the spread of vectors and humans infected with diseases, which can then be transmitted to vulnerable populations



#### **CLIMATE CHANGE**

Climate change is expected to have an impact on the environment and ecosystems, causing changes in vector distribution, composition and vector habits that could lead to greater burdens of vector-borne diseases



Insecticide resistance is a growing challenge that threatens the efficacy of existing vector control interventions THE WHO RESPONSE FRAMEWORK FOR VECTOR CONTROL

### REDUCE THE BURDEN AND THREAT OF VECTOR-BORNE DISEASES THAT AFFECT HUMANS

### EFFECTIVE, LOCALLY-ADAPTED AND SUSTAINABLE VECTOR CONTROL

### **PILLARS OF ACTION**

- Strengthen inter- and intra-sectoral action and collaboration
- Engage and mobilise communitite

- Enhance vector surveillance and monitoring and the evaluation of interventions
- Scale up and integrate tools and approaches

## **FOUNDATION**

#### ENHANCE VECTOR CONTROL CAPACITY AND CAPABILITY

The capacity and capability of many endemic countries to carry out effective and sustainable vector control is poor. The first foundation element is therefore to strengthen the health system and infrastructure to build national capacity. Four stages are outlined for each country:

- Carry out a vector control needs assessment and develop a mobilisation plan
- Appraise and enhance the entomology workforce
- Train relevant Ministry of Health staff in entomology
- Establish national and regional institutional networks for training and education

#### INCREASE BASIC AND APPLIED RESEARCH AND INNOVATION

Research must be the foundation upon which health interventions are based, however, there is a knowledge gap across a range of vector control areas. Research, therefore, must prioritise:

- Assessment of health-system resilience
- Better vector sampling tools
- Innovations for new tools, technologies and approaches
- Improved evidence base on impact of current and new interventions
- Measurement of environmental changes
- Strengthen trans-disciplinary approaches

### **ENABLING FACTORS**

- Advocacy, resource mobilisation and partner coordination
- Country leadership
- Regulatory, policy and normative support

Adapted with permission from World Health Organization (2017), Global Vector Control Response 2017-2030



## The WHO Global Vector Control Response Strategy 2017-2030

In response to the spread and emergence of vectorborne diseases, the World Health Assembly ratified the Global Vector Control Response 2017-2030 in May 2017. The Global Vector Control Response builds upon the integrated vector management approach, but goes further by focusing on the need to strengthen human capacity to advocate, plan and implement vector control in an integrated manner. It provides the framework for the scaling up and integrating effective, locally adapted and sustainable vector control strategies globally, with the aim of reducing the burden and threat of vector-borne diseases. The response framework, endorsed by the WHO, comprises two foundation elements, four pillars of action and three enabling factors (see page 4).

# What does integrating vector control mean?

Integrating vector control across diseases will reduce duplication, improve efficiency and rationalise the use of limited resources, so that they can be directed where they are needed most:

- Interventions can be integrated: combining vector control interventions to target every vector responsible for human illnesses, as well as integrating vector control to compliment MDA and other public health strategies preventing diseases.
- Disease and entomological mapping can be integrated: by conducting an integrated situational analysis of a country's vector species and vector-borne diseases, a fuller picture of the national context can be gained, which will strengthen our understanding of what vector control strategies are needed and when, and therefore avoid the deployment of unnecessary interventions.
- Capacity building can be integrated: by training laboratory and entomologists to work on all the relevant vectors that cause priority diseases in that country (e.g. malaria and vector-borne NTDs), in order to strengthen much-needed capacity and use existing resources for multivectorial approaches.

Integration within and between sectors: responsibility for implementing vector control lies with a wide range of stakeholders in and beyond the health sector. Coordination of activities and information sharing will be essential to ensure efficient use of resources.

## Costs and benefits of investing in integrated vector control for NTDs

Implementing WHO's Global Vector Control Response will require significant financial investment. The cost of carrying out the priority activities outlined under the Foundation and Pillars of Action in the framework (page 4) for the interim period 2017–2022 has been estimated at US\$330million per year. This amounts to about US\$0.05 per person per year at risk from at least one vector-borne disease, excluding the cost of commodities, implementation and research and development<sup>14</sup>.

The benefits of scaling up vector control are numerous and go beyond reducing the suffering and estimated 700,000 deaths they cause each year<sup>15</sup>. These diseases disproportionately impact the poorest and most vulnerable and the costs of treatment can push families further into poverty. The illness and disabilities that they cause can inhibit the ability of people to work, reducing family incomes and limiting employee productivity that negatively impacts national economies. For example, it has been estimated that \$842 million is lost in India each year to treatment costs and reduced work days associated with lymphatic filariasis<sup>16</sup>. The annual global cost of Chagas disease in 2013 was calculated to be roughly \$7 billion, while human African trypanosomiasis is estimated to impact more than 40 percent of the annual income of affected rural households in the Democratic Republic of Congo<sup>17</sup>. Making progress against these diseases is therefore an important step for tackling poverty and promoting prosperity in low and middle-income countries.

## Vector control and the Global Goals

Tackling vector borne diseases will contribute to achieving a large number of the Global Goals for Sustainable Development, as can be seen on page 6.

#### **VECTOR CONTROL AND THE GLOBAL GOALS**



## Recommendations

In order for vector control to be successfully implemented and the Global Vector Control Response achieved, Malaria Consortium recommends that:

- DEVELOPMENT PARTNERS should conduct advocacy to raise awareness of vector borne diseases, mobilise stakeholders across sectors to take action, and work with civil society and community groups to hold governments to account on vector control progress.
- DONORS should work with endemic countries to take a more integrated approach to vector control by providing long-term, predictable funding that incentivises integration. Allocation of funding should be based upon needs identified through a bottom-up approach.
- INTER-SECTORAL NATIONAL TASKFORCES should be established to facilitate cross-sector collaboration, comprising ministers from all relevant departments. The responsibility of tackling vector borne diseases is shared by all in society.
- THE PRIVATE SECTOR should work with donors through public-private partnership mechanisms in order to provide the market incentives for developing new drugs and insecticides, which would otherwise not be commercially viable.
- COMMUNITIES in endemic countries should mobilise in order to hold their governments to account, and work with partners and institutions to develop and implement local, context-specific solutions.

NATIONAL GOVERNMENTS should take action to integrate disease-specific programmes to ensure resources are allocated where they are needed most. Political leaders should show strong leadership including providing a positive regulatory and policy environment, facilitating cross-sector collaboration, allocating sufficient national resources and mobilising other domestic funding.

- THE WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION should provide technical guidance, facilitate the efficient uptake of proven and new vector control tools, and coordinate capacity building activities at the regional and country level. Local WHO offices support countries to develop disease and vector surveillance capacity and a locallytailored surveillance strategy.
- ACADEMIA should conduct robust basic, applied and operational research to the highest standard to add to the evidence base that informs vector control interventions. The applied research agenda should be guided by national vector-borne disease control programmes, and aimed at linking entomological control with impact on disease burdens.

#### **ABOUT MALARIA CONSORTIUM**

Malaria Consortium is one of the world's leading international non-governmental organisations. Our mission is to improve lives in Africa and Asia through sustainable, evidence-based programmes that combat targeted diseases and promote child and maternal health. We target key health burdens, including malaria, pneumonia, dengue and neglected tropical diseases (NTDs), along with other factors that impact child and maternal health. We achieve our goals by:

- ► designing and conducting cutting-edge implementation research, surveillance and monitoring and evaluation
- ► selectively scaling up and delivering sustainable, evidence-based health programmes
- ► providing technical assistance and consulting services that shape and strengthen national and international health policies, strategies and systems and build local capacity
- Seeking to ensure our experience, thought leadership, practical findings and research results are
  effectively communicated and contribute to the coordinated improvement of access to and quality of
  healthcare

We work across Africa and Asia with communities, government and non-government agencies, academic institutions, local and international organisations, to ensure good evidence is used to improve delivery of effective services. We currently operate in Burkina Faso, Cambodia, Chad, Ethiopia, Mozambique, Myanmar, Nigeria, Sierra Leone, South Sudan, Thailand and Uganda.

#### Notes

1. World Health Organization, *Global Vector Control Response* 2017-2030 (2017)

2. World Health Organization, *Global Vector Control Response* 2017-2030 (2017)

3. World Health Organization, World Malaria Report 2016 (2016)

4. World Health Organization, *Global Vector Control Response* 2017-2030 (2017)

5. World Health Organization, *Global Vector Control Response* 2017-2030 (2017)

6. Anders KL and Hay S. 'Lessons from control to help meet the rising challenge of dengue'. *Lancet Infectious Disease*, 12(12): 977-984. (2012) www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/PIIS1473-3099(12)70246-3/

7. Brady OJ, Gething PW, Bhatt S, Messina JP, Brownstein JS, Hoen AG et al. 'Refining the global spatial limits of dengue virus transmission by evidence-based consensus'. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases*, 6(8):e1760. (2012) http://journals.plos.org/ plosntds/article?id=10.1371/journal.pntd.0001760 8. Malaria Consortium, Dengue: Falling Between the Cracks (2016)

9. www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs327/en/

10. wwwnc.cdc.gov/eid/article/20/10/14-0288\_article

11. www.eurosurveillance.org/ViewArticle.aspx?ArticleId=20530

12. www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27197551

13. www.who.int/emergencies/zika-virus/situation-report/25august-2016/en/

14. World Health Organization, *Global Vector Control Response* 2017-2030 (2017)

15. World Health Organization, *Global Vector Control Response* 2017-2030 (2017)

16. Ramaiah KD, Das PK, Michael E, Guyatt HL. 'The economic burden of lymphatic filariasis in India'. *Parasitology Today*. 16:251–3 (2000).

17. World Health Organization, *Global Vector Control Response* 2017-2030 (2017)

#### For more information, please contact Oliver Williams, o.williams@malariaconsortium.org

#### Published by Malaria Consortium 2017

Unless indicated otherwise, this publication may be reproduced in whole or in part for non-profit or educational purposes without permission from the copyright holder. Please clearly acknowledge the source and send a copy or link of the reprinted material to Malaria Consortium. No images from this publication may be used without prior permission from Malaria Consortium.

Malaria Consortium Development House 56-64 Leonard Street, London EC2A 4LT, United Kingdom info@malariaconsortium.org / www.malariaconsortium.org UK Registered Charity No: 1099776/US EIN: 98-0627052

## malaria consortium