

A qualitative study to assess consumer preferences and barriers to use of long lasting insecticidal nets in Myanmar

Htwe Htwe Htet¹, Alexandra Wharton-Smith², Marc Boulay³, Thaung Hlaing⁴, Myat Phone Kyaw⁵, Thae Maung Maung⁵, Muhammad Shafique⁶

¹Malaria Consortium, Myanmar, ²Malaria Consortium, Cambodia, ³Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health Center for Communication Programs, ⁴National Malaria Control Program Myanmar, ⁵Department of Medical Research - Lower Myanmar, ⁶Malaria Consortium, Thailand

Abstract

Background

Previous assessments have highlighted a lack of data on consumer preferences towards the range of malaria prevention tools, particularly in high-risk groups such as mobile populations. A qualitative study was undertaken in mid-2014 across three regions in Myanmar with varying levels of artemisinin resistance, to explore preferences and barriers associated with using long lasting insecticidal nets (LLINs).

Methods

A maximum variation sampling approach was followed to include a range of participant perspectives sufficient to reach theoretical saturation. Focus group discussions and key informant interviews were conducted in rural and urban sites across three regions with a total of 339 participants, including community members, migrant workers, forest goers, health facility, NGO, government staff and community health volunteers. Translated verbatim transcripts were analysed using a content analysis approach.

Results

Across the regions, community level participants were not always able to correctly explain how malaria is transmitted and prevented. A common theme among participants was a dislike towards the hard, rough LLIN texture, strong odour, and reported adverse effects; influencing usage of LLINs. Texture was the most consistent and important feature of nets reported, with participants preferring soft nets with small holes. Migrant workers and forest goers generally preferred a single sized net, while community members and those with large families preferred larger nets. Most participants preferred LLINs over untreated nets due to the insecticidal action to kill mosquitoes and prevent malaria.

Conclusion

Alcohol use

Divine protection

Knowledge gaps around malaria transmission among community members, migrant workers and forest goers highlight the need to improve health awareness to further encourage the use of effective prevention tools. Strong preferences were reported for particular net characteristics, with the potential to enhance the usage.

Table 1: Factors influencing net use (from most commonly cited reasons to least common response) Range of reasons cited for not using LLINs Range of reasons cited for not using untreated nets • Low levels of health education and malaria awareness Adverse side effects associated with LLINs: Difficulties in carrying the net when travelling burning, itching, choking, rashes Leaving behind the net for family to use Texture Too hot to sleep under a net Holes too big (Sagaing) Difficult to hang net Smell Use net for fishing instead Too tired to hang up net Too tired to hang net at night Perception that there are not many mosquitoes in the area Apathetic towards prevention/not interested Net "too beautiful to use"; store instead or save for guests Using a net is not part of a routine Want to sleep in the open air, "naturally"

Table 2. Comments from a range of participants on long lasting insecticidal nets

| Positive perceptions of LLINs | Negative perceptions of LLINs | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| Prevents mosquito bites, malaria | Too hard, rough | | |
| Kills lice, ants, cockroaches, other insects | Big holes | | |
| Long lasting | Too short in height | | |
| Free of charge | Not large enough for families | | |
| | Hot/burning sensation | | |
| | Becomes smaller, mis-shapen after washing | | |
| | Offensive odour/strong smell | | |
| | Easily damaged, torn after a short period | | |

Table 3. Number of key informant interviews (KIIs), focus group discussions (FGDs) and participants per tier

| Tier | Site location | Number of KIIs | Number of FGDs | Number of participants |
|--------|------------------------|----------------|----------------|------------------------|
| Tier 1 | Tanintharyi, Maw Taung | 16 | 12 | 110 |
| Tier 2 | Kayah, Loi Kaw | 17 | 12 | 118 |
| Tier 3 | Sagaing, Kale | 15 | 12 | 111 |
| | Total: | 48 | 36 | 339 |





Temporary shelter of migrant workers



Focus group discussion with migrant workers

Area stratification (Table 3)

Participants were sampled from areas of Myanmar which have varying levels of evident and suspected artemisinin resistant *Plasmodium falciparum*, specifically referred to as Tier 1 (strong evidence of artemisinin resistance, widespread ecological and social risk factors, intensive population movement), Tier 2 (unclear evidence of suspected resistance; located near suspected resistance areas in Myanmar, Thailand and China) and Tier 3 (rest of country).

For more information

Dr. Htwe Htwe Htet, h.htwe@mailariaconsortium.org
Malaria Consortium Myanmar
Room 2-A, 2nd floor, U Kun Zaw Condo
U Kun Zaw Avenue Lane, Kan Street, Hlaing Township Yangon, Myanmar
www.mailariaconsortium.org

Acknowledgements

This project was a collaborative effort of Malaria Consortium, Department of Medical Research (Lower Myanmar) and Vector Borne Disease Control (VBDC) Programme of the Ministry of Health, Myanmar. This study is made possible by the generous support of the American people through the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) under the terms of USAID/JHU Cooperative Agreement No. GHS-A-00-09-00014-00. The contents are the responsibility of Malaria Consortium and do not necessarily reflect the views of USAID or the United States Government.







